

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/791,877	10/791,877 03/04/2004		Rebecca Snow	1128.2650002/TGD/RLP	4811
26111	7590	07/19/2005	EXAMINER		
,		R, GOLDSTEIN	NAKARANI, DHIRAJLAL S		
1100 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·				1773	

DATE MAILED: 07/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/791,877	SNOW, REBECCA			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	D. S. Nakarani	1773			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror , cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed ays will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 A	<u>pril 2005</u> .				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	•				
Disposition of Claims					
4) □ Claim(s) 1,2,4-9,12-16,40 and 41 is/are pendin 4a) Of the above claim(s) 12,13,15,16,40 and 4 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-9 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) 14 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	11 is/are withdrawn from conside	eration.			
Application Papers	·				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce		Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies.	s have been received. s have been received in Applicatity documents have been receiv I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage			
		•			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:				

MC

Art Unit: 1773

DETAILED ACTION

1. Newly submitted claims 40 and 41 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons:

Claims 1, 2 and 4-9 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising a molded article custom-fitted to a portion of a human body classified in class 602 subclass 7+ and claim 41 is directed to a helmet and to helmet inset.

Therefore it is also different species. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 40 and 41 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

2. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, claims 1, 2, 4-9 and 12-16, now claims 1, 2, 4-9, 12-16, 40 and 41, with elected species of claims 14 namely helmet, in

Art Unit: 1773

the reply filed on April 8, 2005 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that claim 1 is generic to all species, and upon allowance thereof reconsideration of withdrawn claims 12, 13, 15 and 16. Applicant also states that new claims 40 and 41 also read on elected group. This is not found persuasive because the Examiner agrees that an allowance of generic claim 1, all non-elected species will be considered. However if generic claim is not allowed, non-elected species will not be considered. New claim 40 is directed to a new species. New claim 41 includes non-elected species. On allowance of generic claim 1 all non-Elected species will be considered.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

- 3. Claims 12, 13, 15, 16, 40 and 41 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on April 8, 2005.
- 4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 5. Claims 1, 2 and 4-9 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Becker et al (U.S. Patent 5,041,319) in view of Havens et al (U.S. Patent 4,848,566) and Shirato et al (U.S. Patent 6,090,479) for the reasons of record set forth in paragraph 12 of the Office Action mailed December 09, 2004 (Paper No. 20041115).

Application/Control Number: 10/791,877 Page 4

Art Unit: 1773

6. Claim 14 stand objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

7. Applicant's arguments filed April 08, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In reference to rejection of claims 1, 2 and 4-9 under 35 USC §103(a) as being obvious over Becker et al (US Patent 5,041,319) in view of Haven et al (US Patent 4, 848,566) and Shirato et al (US Patent 6,090,479), applicant mainly argue that Becker et al teach polyolefin foam containing antistatic agent known as "pink poly" for protecting components having conductive leads from electrostatic discharge during handling, packaging and shipping of electronic devices and components. Becker et al do not teach the use of foamed ethylene vinyl acetate and an antistatic agent. Havens et al teach against the use of the particular material such as "pink-poly" and foamed article. Havens et al teach the use of an unfoamed layer of ethylene vinyl acetate and an antistatic agent. Shirato et al teach the use of a slow recovery foam product for various purposes, such as forming sealant between surfaces and other space filling functions. For the same reasons, there would be no motivation to combined the teachings of the Shirato et al reference with the Havens et al reference. since Haven et al teach against the use of foam padding such as taught by Shirato et al.

These arguments are unpersuasive because Havens et al do not teach against the use of the foamed article. Havens et al only teach against use of internal

Application/Control Number: 10/791,877

Art Unit: 1773

polyethylene foam pads in the container. Havens et al are silent about whether their container is foamed or unfoamed. There is nowhere Havens et al suggest that foamed container can not be used. Havens et al do not disclose that layer (12) of the container is foamed. Furthermore Havens et al used to show antistatic agent of present invention are used in the polyolefin and the term "polyolefin" includes polymers and copolymers of ethylene, propylene etc. Havens et al show polyolefin such as linear low-density polyethylene and ethylene vinyl acetate. Shirato et al show a foamed product made of polyolefin such as polyethylene, polypropylene and ethylene vinyl acetate copolymers. Thus Havens et al and Shirato et al show equivalent use of polyethylene, ethylene-vinyl acetate copolymer. Becker et al's generic term "polyolefin' encompasses polyethylene, polypropylene, ethylene-vinyl acetate copolymer etc.

8. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/791,877

Art Unit: 1773

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to D. S. Nakarani whose telephone number is (571) 272-1512. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday from 7:00 am to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carol Chaney can be reached on (571) 272-1284. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

D. S. Nakarani/af July 7, 2005 D. S. NAKARANI PRIMARY EXAMINER